ZOOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, INDIAN MUSEUM, CALCUTTA-700 016, January 10, 1979.

JNANENDRA LAL BHADURI SUBHENDU SEKHAR SAHA

REFERENCES

ABDULALI, H. AND DANIEL, J. C. (1954): Extention of range of the frog Uperodon globulosum Günther). J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 52: 637.

BHADURI, J. L. AND BASU, S. L. (1956): Further extention of range of the frog, *Uperodon globulo*sum (Günther) in Jalpaiguri, West Bengal. ibid. 53: 712-713. BOULENGER, G. A. (1890): Fauna of British India. Reptilia and Batrachia. Taylor and Francis. London.

MUKERJI, D. D. (1933): Some observations on burrowing toad, Cacopus globulosum Günther. J. Proc. Asiat. Soc. Bengal, N. S. 27: 97-100.

19. OCCURRENCE OF *BOTIA LOHACHATA* CHAUDHURI IN HIMACHAL PRADESH WITH REMARKS ON THE TAXONOMY OF INDIAN SPECIES OF *BOTIA* GRAY (PISCES: COBITIDAE)

Recently, I came across in the fish collection of this Station 3 specimens of *Botia lohachata* Chaudhuri collected from Nakeri Khud, 10 kms from Dehragopipur, Distt. Kangra (H.P.). These specimens, labelled as *Botia dayi* Hora, agree well with the account of *B. lohachata* as given by Chaudhuri (1912). Since *B. lohachata* is hitherto known from Bihar, Uttar-Pradesh, Rajasthan (Udaipur), Delhi, Punjab and Sind (Menon 1974), the present find extends its distributional range to Himachal Pradesh, as may be expected from the zoogeographical point of view (Menon 1962).

Tilak and Hussain (1977) in their checklist of the fishes of Himachal Pradesh included two species of *Botia*, *B. birdi* Chaudhuri and *B. dayi* Hora, the latter species recorded for the first time from Himachal Pradesh. Hitherto, *B. dayi* was known from Eastern Himalayas (Menon 1974) and from the western ghats (Rao and Yazdani 1978).¹

Day (1878-1889) referred to 6 species of Botia, namely, B. nebulosa Blyth, B. dario (Ham.), B. geto (Ham.), B. almorhae Gray, B. berdmorei Blyth, and B. histrionica Blyth, As Day's (op. cit.) key to the species of Botia, based mainly on the differences in the finray counts and number of barbels, was not helpful Hora (1922) analysed Botia spp. on the basis of other characters such as size and position of eves and length of snout in relation to head. He (op. cit.) dealt with altogether 17 species, 8 of which, namely, B. almorhae, B. birdi, B. dario, B. geto, B. histrionica, B. lohachata, B. rostrata, and B. striata were considered valid from India. He (op. cit.) synonymised B. berdmorei (having 6 barbels) with B. hymenophysa (Bleeker)-a species (having 8 barbels) known from Burma. Thailand, Indo-Australian Archipelago and re-

¹See vol. 76 (3): 525-527, for the validity of this record—Eds.

jected *B. nebulosa* of Day on the ground that it was a species of *Noemacheilus*.

Hora (1932) described B. dayi from River Mahanadi, Darjeeling Himalayas and synonymised with it the species described by Day (1878, 1889) under the name Botia geto. Menon (1974), in his check-list of fishes of Himalayan and Indo-gangetic plains, recorded 6 species of Botia, namely, almorhae, berdmorei, dario, histrionica, lohachata and rostrata. He (op. cit.) ignored the synonymy of B. berdmorei with B. hymenophysa but omitted B. birdi, perhaps inadvertently, and B. geto without clarifying their taxonomic status. Thus, only 8 species of Botia, namely, almorhae, birdi, dario, histrionica, hymenophysa, lohachata, rostrata and striata may be provisionally recognised in India.

As Menon (op. cit.), in his check-list, in-

ZOOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, HIGH ALTITUDE ZOOLOGY FIELD STATION, SOLAN (HIMACHAL PRADESH), August 30, 1979. cludes *Botia berdmorei* from India, and as Hora synonymised it with *B. hymenophysa*, Hora's (1922) key may be modified as follows:

Under Group II. Barbels eight (*Botia* s.s.), after the position B, I, b, i and after the statement "Anterior origin of dorsal almost equidistant from tip of snout and base of caudal", add

Length of head greater than depth of body ...

Length of head almost same as depth of body

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to Dr. H. Khajuria, Deputy Director, for kindly providing necessary facilities.

G. M. YAZDANI

REFFRENCES

CHAUDHURI, B. L. (1912): Descriptions of some new species of freshwater fishes from North India. *Rec. Indian Mus.*, Calcutta, 7, pp. 437-444.

DAY, F. (1878): The fishes of India, being a Natural History of the fishes known to inhabit the seas and freshwater of India, Burma, and Ceylon (Reprinted in 1958 William Dawson & Co., London). pp. 1-XX-778, pls. 195.

(1889): The Fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma, Fishes, London, *1*, pp. 1-XIII, 1-548, 104 figs.

HORA, S. L. (1922): Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. IV. On fishes belonging to the genus *Botia*, (Cobitidae). *Rec. Indian Mus.*, Calcutta, 24(3): 313-321.

(1932): Notes on the fishes of the Indian Museum XIX. On a new loach of the genus

Botia, with a remark on B. dario (Ham.-Buch.). Rec. Indian Mus., Calcutta. 34: 571-573.

MENON, A. G. K. (1962): A distributional list of fishes of the Himalayas. J. zool. Soc. India, Calcutta, 14(1): 23-32.

(1974): A check-list of fishes of the Himalayan and the Indo-gangetic plains. Inland fisheries Society of India, Special Publication No. 1, pp. i-vii, 1-136.

RAO, M. B. AND YAZDANI, G. M. (1978): Occurrence of the cobitid genus *Botia* Gray in the western ghats of India. *J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc.* 74(2): 367-368.

TILAK, R. AND HUSSAIN, A. (1977): A check-list of the fishes of Himachal Pradesh. Zool. J. Syst. Ed., 104: 265-301.